# diffpriv: An R Package for Easy Differential Privacy

Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein

School of Computing and Information Systems The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

Francesco Aldà

FRANCESCO.ALDA@RUB.DE

BRUBINSTEIN@UNIMELB.EDU.AU

Horst Görtz Institute for IT Security and Faculty of Mathematics Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44801 Bochum, Germany

Editor: TBD

# Abstract

The R package diffpriv provides tools for statistics and machine learning under differential privacy. Suitable for releasing analyses on privacy-sensitive data to untrusted third parties, differential privacy has become the framework of choice for privacy-preserving learning. diffpriv delivers: (a) implementations of generic mechanisms for privatizing non-private target functions, including the Laplace, Gaussian, exponential and Bernstein mechanisms; (b) a recent sensitivity sampler due to Rubinstein and Aldà (2017) that empirically estimates the sensitivity of non-private targets—obviating mathematical analysis for exact sensitivity bounds needed for most generic mechanisms; (c) an extensible framework for implementing differentially-private mechanisms. Together, the components of diffpriv permit easy high-utility privatization of complex analyses, learners and even black-box software programs.

Keywords: differential privacy, empirical process theory, R, open-source software

# 1. Introduction

Differential privacy (Dwork et al., 2006) has quickly become a key framework for semantic guarantees of data privacy when releasing analysis on privacy-sensitive data to untrusted third parties. The framework's popularity is in part due to a suite of generic mechanisms for privatizing non-private target functions of data *i.e.*, statistics, estimation procedures, and learners. Common to most generic mechanisms is the requirement that the non-private target's sensitivity to data set perturbation is known and bounded. Unfortunately, bounding sensitivity can be prohibitively complex for potential end users. This paper describes the diffpriv R package that implements generic mechanisms for differential privacy, along with our recent sensitivity sampler that replaces exact sensitivity bounds with empirical estimates (Rubinstein and Aldà, 2017). As a result, diffpriv privatizes a wide range of procedures under random differential privacy (Hall et al., 2012), automatically without mathematical analysis and in many cases achieving high utility. diffpriv v0.4.2 is available from https://brubinstein.github.io/diffpriv/ the project homepage and CRAN from https://cran.r-project.org/package=diffpriv under an open-source license.

<sup>©2017</sup> Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein and Francesco Aldà.

## 2. Generic Mechanisms for Differential Privacy

Fundamental to differential privacy is a privacy-sensitive data set (or database)  $D \in \mathcal{D}^n$ on domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . In diffpriv a data set can be a list, matrix, data.frame or vector. We say that a pair of databases  $D, D' \in \mathcal{D}^n$  is neighboring if they differ on exactly one record. While individual records should not be revealed, we aim to release aggregate information on D with a mechanism. A mechanism  $M : \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{R}$  is a random-valued function of databases taking values in a response set  $\mathcal{R}$ ; implemented in diffpriv as virtual (abstract) class DPMech.

**Definition 1 (Dwork et al., 2006)** For  $\epsilon > 0$ , mechanism  $M : \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{R}$  preserves  $\epsilon$ differential privacy if for all neighboring pairs  $D, D' \in \mathcal{D}^n$ , measurable response sets  $R \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\Pr(M(D) \in R) \leq \exp(\epsilon) \cdot \Pr(M(D') \in R)$ . Alternatively for  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , relaxed  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ differential privacy holds if  $\Pr(M(D) \in R) \leq \exp(\epsilon) \cdot \Pr(M(D') \in R) + \delta$ .

A mechanism preserves DP if its response distributions are close on neighboring pairs: an adversary cannot determine an unknown record from responses, even with knowledge of the remaining records. Privacy parameters  $\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta$ ) are encapsulated in class DPParamsEps (DPParamsDel). DPMech generic method releaseResponse(mechanism, privacyParams, X) takes privacy parameters and a sensitive dataset, to private response. Most generic mechanisms in DP share a number of properties leveraged by the diffpriv package as follows.

**Privatizing a target function.** Many mechanisms  $M : \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{R}$  seek to privatize a non-private *target* function  $f : \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{B}$ , with range  $\mathcal{B}$  often coinciding with  $\mathcal{R}$ . Accordingly DPMech objects are initialized with target slot of type function. A mechanism's releaseResponse() method calls target to form privacy-preserving responses.

Normed target range space. Target f's output space  $\mathcal{B}$  is typically imbued with a norm, denoted  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ , needed for measuring the sensitivity of f's outputs to input perturbation. diffpriv flexibly represents this norm within DPMech objects as described next.

Sensitivity-induced privacy. Many mechanisms achieve differential privacy by calibrating randomization to the sensitivity of target f. Insensitive targets need less response randomization. On a pair of neighboring databases  $D, D' \in \mathcal{D}^n$  the sensitivity of f is measured as  $\Delta(D, D') = ||f(D) - f(D')||_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Global sensitivity is the largest such value  $\overline{\Delta} = \sup_{D,D'} ||f(D) = f(D')||_{\mathcal{B}}$  over all possible neighboring pairs. As we discuss in (Rubinstein and Aldà, 2017), a broad class of generic mechanisms, taking sensitivity  $\Delta$  as a parameter, are sensitivity-induced private: for any neighboring pair  $D, D' \in \mathcal{D}^n$  if  $\Delta(D, D') \leq \Delta$  then the mechanism  $M_{\Delta}$  run with parameter  $\Delta$  achieves  $\Pr(M_{\Delta}(D) \in R) \leq \exp(\epsilon) \cdot \Pr(M_{\Delta}(D') \in R)$  for all  $R \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ . When run with  $\Delta = \overline{\Delta}$ , this condition holds for all neighboring pairs:  $M_{\overline{\Delta}}$  satisfies  $\epsilon$ -DP. Similarly for  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP. In fact this is how differential privacy is typically proved for such generic mechanisms. DPMech objects can be initialized with a sensitivity argument, stored in an S4 slot of the same name. If the user provides a manually-derived global sensitivity bound  $\overline{\Delta}$ , then releaseResponse() responses preserve  $\epsilon$ - or  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP (depending on the specific mechanism). We now demonstrate this use case.

#### 2.1 Example: Laplace Mechanism Release of the Sample Mean

diffpriv implements Laplace (Dwork et al., 2006), Gaussian (Dwork and Roth, 2014), exponential (McSherry and Talwar, 2007), and Bernstein (Aldà and Rubinstein, 2017) mechanisms as DPMech subclasses DPMechLaplace, DPMechGaussian, DPMechExponential, and DPMechBernstein. An exponential example is included below. DPMechLaplace releases numeric vectors, adopting the  $L_1$  norm (sum of absolutes) for  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The mechanism releases vectors in  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}^d$  by adding an i.i.d. sample of d Laplace-distributed random variables with means 0 and scales  $\overline{\Delta}/\epsilon$  to f(D) to achieve  $\epsilon$ -DP. DPMechGaussian also privatizes numeric responses but under  $L_2$ -sensitivity and weaker  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP; DPMechBernstein leverages the Laplace mechanism to release multivariate real-valued functions.

We next demonstrate Laplace privatization of the sample mean on bounded data  $\mathcal{D}^n = [0, 1]^n$ , for which  $\mathcal{B}$  dimension dims is one. Global sensitivity is readily bounded as 1/n.

```
library(diffpriv)
f <- function(X) mean(X) ## target function
n <- 100 ## dataset size
mechanism <- DPMechLaplace(target = f, sensitivity = 1/n, dims = 1)
D <- runif(n, min = 0, max = 1) ## the sensitive database in [0,1]^n
pparams <- DPParamsEps(epsilon = 1) ## desired privacy budget
r <- releaseResponse(mechanism, privacyParams = pparams, X = D)
cat("Private response r$response:", r$response,
    "\nNon-private response f(D): ", f(D))
#> Private response r$response: 0.5244495
```

3. Sensitivity Sampling for Random Differential Privacy

#> Non-private response f(D): 0.5343454

When target global sensitivity is supplied as sensitivity within DPMech construction, responses are differentially private. Global sensitivity is known for *idealizations* of *e.g.*, coefficients for regularized logistic regression (Chaudhuri and Monteleoni, 2009) and the SVM (Rubinstein et al., 2012; Chaudhuri et al., 2011). In complex applications such as privatizing a software function, however, target's global sensitivity may not be readily available. For such cases, diffpriv implements the sensitivity sampler of Rubinstein and Aldà (2017) which forms a high-probability estimate of target sensitivity by repeated probing of sensitivity on random neighboring database pairs, leveraging tools from empirical process theory. Like sensitivity estimates, resulting privacy holds with high probability.

**Definition 2 (Hall et al., 2012)** A mechanism M preserves  $(\epsilon, \gamma)$ -random differential privacy (with a corresponding form for  $\epsilon, \delta, \gamma$ ) if  $\forall R \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \Pr(M(D) \in R) \leq \exp(\epsilon) \cdot \Pr(M(D') \in R)$  holds with probability at least  $1 - \gamma$  over random database pairs D, D'.

While weaker than  $\epsilon$ -DP, RDP is arguably more natural than  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP: The later safeguards all databases but not unlikely responses, while RDP protects against all responses but not pathological databases (as defined by the database sampling distribution). The sampling distribution can be anything meaningful *e.g.*, uniform, a Bayesian prior, a density from data privately fit by the Bernstein mechanism (Aldà and Rubinstein, 2017), etc.

The DPMech method sensitivitySampler(object, oracle, n, m, gamma) requires: a mechanism object; a function oracle which outputs i.i.d. random databases of given size n which should match the size of input data supplied later in calls to releaseResponse(); a sensitivity sample size m; and desired privacy confidence gamma. Either (but not both) of m, gamma can be omitted: the omitted resource will be optimized automatically. For example m taken small (few hundred) reflects limited sampling time; small gamma (e.g., 0.05) prioritizes privacy. The sensitivity sampler calls appropriate DPMech sensitivityNorm() which implements  $\Delta(D, D')$  for the mechanism's norm and stored target. New subclasses of DPMech need only implement this method in order to take advantage of the sensitivity sampler. After sensitivitySampler(), subsequent releaseResponse() results have a privacy parameter slot of type DPParamsGam indicating response RDP.

### 3.1 Example: Frequent Characters with Sensitivity Sampling

All DPMech subclasses are sensitivity-induced private and can be sensitivity sampled. We demonstrate the exponential mechanism, which privately optimizes a given objective s(r) of candidate response  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Its response distribution is proportional to  $\exp(\epsilon \cdot s(r)/(2\Delta))$ . Typically s depends on input D, and so DPMechExponential is initialized with target that takes D and outputs score function s(r). That is,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is a real-valued function space on  $\mathcal{R}$  and the class's sensitivityNorm() implements the sup-norm (cf. Rubinstein and Aldà, 2017). In practice, users supply target as an R closure as demonstrated below. Given sensitivity of target, the mechanism preserves  $\epsilon$ -DP; if sensitivitySampler() estimates sensitivity with some gamma, then confidence  $\gamma = \text{gamma RDP}$  is preserved.

We can apply these ideas to find the most frequent a-z character within the top-10 computer scientist names from Semantic Scholar, subject to individual privacy. Exponential privately maximizes total frequency. But without bounded name lengths, this function has unbounded global sensitivity. We therefore use the sensitivity sampler for (1, 0.1)-RDP, with an oracle that samples representative U.S. names with package randomNames.

```
library(randomNames) ## a package that generates representative random names
oracle <- function(n) randomNames(n)</pre>
D <- c("Michael Jordan", "Andrew Ng", "Andrew Zisserman", "Christopher Manning",
       "Jitendra Malik", "Geoffrey Hinton", "Scott Shenker",
       "Bernhard Scholkopf", "Jon Kleinberg", "Judea Pearl")
n <- length(D)</pre>
f <- function(X) { function(r) sum(r == unlist(base::strsplit(X, ""))) }</pre>
rSet <- as.list(letters) ## the response set, letters a - z, must be a list
mechanism <- DPMechExponential(target = f, responseSet = rSet)</pre>
mechanism <- sensitivitySampler(mechanism, oracle = oracle, n = n, gamma = 0.1)</pre>
pparams <- DPParamsEps(epsilon = 1)</pre>
r <- releaseResponse(mechanism, privacyParams = pparams, X = D)</pre>
cat("Private response r$response: ", r$response,
  "\nNon-private f(D) maximizer: ", letters[which.max(sapply(rSet, f(D)))])
#> Private response r$response:
                                   С
#> Non-private f(D) maximizer:
                                   е
```

# Acknowledgments

B. Rubinstein and F. Aldà acknowledge the support of the Australian Research Council (DE160100584) and the DFG Research Training Group GRK 1817/1 respectively.

## References

- Francesco Aldà and Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein. The Bernstein mechanism: Function release under differential privacy. In Proceedings of the 31st AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 1705–1711, 2017.
- Kamalika Chaudhuri and Claire Monteleoni. Privacy-preserving logistic regression. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 289–296, 2009.
- Kamalika Chaudhuri, Claire Monteleoni, and Anand D Sarwate. Differentially private empirical risk minimization. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12(Mar):1069–1109, 2011.
- Cynthia Dwork and Aaron Roth. The algorithmic foundations of differential privacy. Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science, 9(3–4):211–407, 2014.
- Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith. Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis. In *Theory of Cryptography Conference*, pages 265–284. Springer, 2006.
- Rob Hall, Alessandro Rinaldo, and Larry Wasserman. Random differential privacy. *Journal* of Privacy and Confidentiality, 4(2):43–59, 2012.
- Frank McSherry and Kunal Talwar. Mechanism design via differential privacy. In 48th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, pages 94–103. IEEE, 2007.
- Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein and Francesco Aldà. Pain-free random differential privacy with sensitivity sampling. In Doina Precup and Yee Whye Teh, editors, *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 70 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2950–2959. PMLR, 2017.
- Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein, Peter L. Bartlett, Ling Huang, and Nina Taft. Learning in a large function space: Privacy-preserving mechanisms for SVM learning. *Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality*, 4(1):65–100, 2012.